

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/075,120 02/13/2002 Clive Pai 7283 **EXAMINER** 22922 7590 10/04/2004 REINHART BOERNER VAN DEUREN S.C. MARMOR II, CHARLES ALAN ATTN: LINDA GABRIEL, DOCKET COORDINATOR PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1000 NORTH WATER STREET **SUITE 2100** 3736 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/075,120	PAI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
TI SEAL DIO DATE CHI	Charles A. Marmor, II	3736
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply I. It reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 Iriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS Italiate, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	3 June 2004.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 7	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) 14-17 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 and 18-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	·
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	-
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appl priority documents have been rec	ication No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not rec	eived.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/075,120 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed June 23, 2004. The Examiner acknowledges the amendments to claims 5, 6, 14, 15 and 18. Claims 1-22 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wolff et al. ('767). Wolff et al. teach a measurement device that is capable of determining thoracolumbar position in the sagittal plane. Claim 14 includes the transitional phrase "comprising" which is inclusive or open-ended and does not exclude additional, unrecited elements. The "Wolff" device includes at least one vertical reference component 5,6, at least one horizontal reference component 11, and at least one reference housing component 10. The vertical and horizontal reference components are positioned substantially perpendicular one to another within a housing component. A substantially level seat component 4 supports the vertical reference components and is substantially perpendicular therewith, where "seat" is defined as "the place where something is located or based" (*The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language*, ©1992). Each housing component 10 defines at least one aperture therethrough for receiving the

Art Unit: 3736

reference components. The seat component 4 includes a substantially vertical reference member 16 a distance apart from the vertical reference components. The horizontal reference components 15 are provided with leveling components thereon. Measurements can be made with respect to the plurality of holes 7 on the vertical reference components and with respect to the plurality of holes 12 on the horizontal reference components. The horizontal and vertical reference components are disposed within a main reference housing component formed by the seat component 4, top housing component 1, and vertical housing components 2,3.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-13 and 18-22 are allowed.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claims 1-8, no prior art of record teach or fairly suggest a method of assessing spinal reposition sense where a test subject is engaged in a thoracolumbar movement with a range of motion through the sagittal plane, a flexion position is selected that has a vertical coordinate and a horizontal coordinate, the subject's ability to reposition to said flexion position is recorded, and the vertical coordinate and horizontal coordinate of the sensed reposition is compared with the coordinates of the selected flexion position.

Regarding claims 9-13, no prior art of record teach or fairly suggest a method of using linear coordinates to determine the angle of thoracolumbar flexion in the sagittal plane, where the subject is seated on a level seating surface, vertical linear coordinates and horizontal linear coordinates relative to a provided vertical reference are measured at C7-T4 on the subject, and

Art Unit: 3736

the angle of flexion of the thoracolumbar spine is trigonometrically determined using the measured linear coordinates.

Regarding claims 18-22, no prior art of record teach or fairly suggest a method of using a thoracolumbar measurement system having a vertical reference component positioned perpendicular to a horizontal reference component within a reference housing component, and a level seating component supporting the vertical reference component, to clinically assess the spinal reposition sense of a test subject.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant contends that the Badelon device does not include a vertical reference component but merely a support for a slide rule, and that the reference components are not positioned within any housing component. These arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection citing Wolff et al. set forth hereinabove.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,120

Art Unit: 3736

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Charles A. Marmor, II whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3521. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH (7:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (703) 308-3130. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles A. Marmor, II

Page 5

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3736

cam

September 30, 2004